

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/680,227	10/06/2000	Paul A. Monte	900.8500USU	1612	
41339 7:	590 07/11/2006	EXAMINER			
KARAMBELAS & ASSOCIATES			MEHRA, INDER P		
655 DEEP VALLEY DRIVE, SUITE 303 ROLLING HILLS ESTATES, CA 90274			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, -		2617		
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)			
		09	/680,227	MONTE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Ex	aminer	Art Unit			
		Inc	ler P. Mehra	2617			
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence address			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions BX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comin period for reply specified above, the maximum signified for reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. s0) days, a reply within tatutory period will app y will, by statute, causi	In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.		
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 12/19/20	05.				
		2b)☐ This acti					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the sla) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>9-41</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 42</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn fr					
Application	on Papers		·				
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>06 October 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objected to the properties of the properties of the properties of the oath or declaration is objected to the properties of the oath or declaration is objected to the properties of the prope	2000 is/are: a)[ction to the draw g the correction is	ing(s) be held in abeyance. Se required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	I).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations the attached detailed Office actions	documents had documents had of the priority d anal Bureau (PC	ve been received. ve been received in Applicat ocuments have been receive CT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(1) Notice 2) Notice	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	TO 048\	4)				
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/680,227

Art Unit: 2617

3.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application filed dated: 12/19/2005.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 5 and 42 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1, 5 and 42 recite the following limitation "routing (said) individual ones of said code division multiplexed channel blocks to their destination in accordance with the individual predetermined spreading waveforms. In this limitation, it is not clear as to in which location, the limitation: "routing—" is carried out or performed? Is it performed in gateway, or satellite or any other router?

Applicant argues, "the Examiner states that it is not clear as to in which location the limitation "routing" is carried out or performed.

"The routing, as the claims read now, is in accordance with the individual predetermined spreading waveforms as set out in the claims, thereby obviating this objection. Applicants respectfully submit it is inappropriate to request correction/clarification calling for unnecessary limitations in the claims in the absence of prior art since the claims are clear on their face.

In response, it is stated that whenever claim's interpretation is not clear, rather vague. The objection is therefore valid. Applicant has not responded to the examiner's question as to the component (location) where the routing is performed. General statement does not specify the location of action/function of the limitation.

Art Unit: 2617

Appropriate correction/clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Harms et al** (US Patent No. 6,493,376), hereinafter, Harms.

For claims 1 and 42, Harms discloses, in reference to fig. 1), "a method for processing communications in a satellite telecommunications system (col. 1 lines 12-20), comprising the steps of:

- providing a gateway and a satellite(14 and 16) coupled together through at least one feeder link (42, 46 and 48, forward link, col. 2 lines 40-45,), said feeder link conveying a plurality of channel blocks, (refer to fig. 1. col. 7 lines 20-32, "channelizing codes", col. 1 lines 66-col. 2 line 5);
- code division multiplexing each of said plurality of channel blocks using
 apredetermined spreading waveform selected to indicate an origin and a
 destination of each of said plurality of channel blocks (channelizing orthogonal
 code using PN chip rate, refer to col. 2 lines 3-20);

Art Unit: 2617

transmitting said code division multiplexed channel blocks; and, routing said individual ones of said channel blocks to their destination in accordance with the individual predetermined spreading waveforms ("The system users communicate through gateways and satellites, or terrestrial base stations (also referred to as cell-sites or cells) using CDMA spread spectrum communication signals", refer to col. 1 lines 40-45, using preselected PN spreading code—moculation signals, refer to col. 4 lines 40-45, col. 4 lines 53-55.

For claims 2-4, Harms discloses the following limitations:

- wherein said at least one feeder link is a return feeder link, as in claim 2, refer to 42, 46 and 48, col. 8 lines 15-18.
- wherein said at least one feeder link is a forward feeder link, , as in claim 3, refer to 42, 46 and 48, col. 8 lines 15-18.
- wherein said destination comprises at least a beam of a forward service link, , as in claim 4, refer to col. 2 lines 2-5, col. 9 line 2.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 9-41 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, see objection to drawings and claims" but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/19/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that no where in these recitations is there taught, suggested or implied providing a plurality of channel blocks which are code division multiplexed using a predetermined spreading waveform selected to indicate an origin and a destination of each of said plurality of channel blocks and thereafter transmitting the CDMA channel blocks to their destination in accordance with individual predetermined spreading waveform.

In response, examiner states that Harm discloses, in reference to figs. 1 and 3, the channel blocks, refer to col. 3 lines 59-61, CDMA, col. 1 lines 65-67, predetermined spreading waveform, (refer to "That is, each user transceiver has its own orthogonal channel provided on the forward link by using a unique 'covering' or 'channelizing' orthogonal code. PN code based modulation techniques used in CDMA signal processing allow spectrally similar communication signals to be quickly differentiated, col. 2 lines 3-30; a more detailed representation of an exemplary block correlator 142 is illustrated in FIG. 12. When a block of decoded outer PN code chips is transferred to correlator 142, where block of data (channel block) is associated. In fig. 3. PN code80 is used to combine with data. It also shows, its origin 78 to destination 76 in fig. 3, because PN is correlated at source of data and orthogonal is used to identify Base station.

However, Harms (6,493,376) discloses a set of <u>preselected pseudorandom noise (PN)</u>

<u>code sequences is used to modulate (i.e., spread") information signals over a predetermined</u>

Application/Control Number: 09/680,227

Art Unit: 2617

signals. PN spreading, a method of spread-spectrum transmission that is well known in the art, produces a signal for transmission that has a bandwidth much greater than that of the data signal. In a satellite forward communications link (that is, in a communications link originating at a gateway (origin) and terminating at a user terminal) (destination), PN spreading codes are used to discriminate between signals transmitted by a gateway over different beams, and to discriminate between multipath signals. These PN codes are usually shared by all communications signals within a beam, refer to col. 1 lines 45-60.

Further examiner states that PN code modulates the data. Only source and destination can demodulate using the PN code. Therefore the PN code indicates the origin and destination. It is built into the PN code.

Applicant argues, "no where in these recitations is there taught, suggested or implied providing a plurality of channel blocks which are code division multiplexed using a predetermined spreading waveform selected to indicate an origin and a destination of each of said plurality of channel blocks and thereafter transmitting the CDMA channel blocks to their destination in accordance with individual predetermined spreading waveform".

Applicant, further, argues, "In a typical spread-spectrum communication system, one or more sets or pairs of preselected pseudorandom noise (PN) code sequences are used to modulate or 'spread' user information signals over a predetermined spectral band prior to modulation onto a carrier for transmission as communication signals." Applicants respectfully submit this does

Application/Control Number: 09/680,227

Art Unit: 2617

Iittle to cure the deficiencies as noted above with regard to the channel blocks employing the spreading waveform to indicate an origin and a destination of each of plurality of channel blocks and thereafter transmitting in accordance with the predetermined spreading waveform as required by claims 1 and 42".

In response, it is stated that Harms discloses explicitly "In a typical CDMA spread spectrum communication, <u>channelizing codes</u> (spreading waveform) are used to <u>discriminate</u> <u>between signals intended for different users</u> (indicates origin and destination)---within satellite beam or sub beam on <u>forward link</u>, refer to col. 1 line3 through col. 2 line 5. Further, Harms discloses explicitly, PN code based modulation techniques used in CDMA signal processing allow spectrally similar communication signals to be quickly differentiated", refer to col. 2 lines 10-15.

Applicant argues, "the Examiner states that it is not clear as to in which location the limitation "routing" is carried out or performed.

"The routing, as the claims read now, is in accordance with the individual predetermined spreading waveforms as set out in the claims, thereby obviating this objection. Applicants respectfully submit it is inappropriate to request correction/ clarification calling for unnecessary limitations in the claims in the absence of prior art since the claims are clear on their face.

In response, it is stated that whenever claim's interpretation is not clear, the objection is valid. Applicant has not responded to the examiner's question as to the component (location) where the routing is performed.

Art Unit: 2617

In light of above explanation, arguments by applicant are not persuasive.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Inder P. Mehra whose telephone number is 571-272-3170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sinder Pal Mehra 7/6/06 Inder P Mehra Examiner

Art Unit 2617

JOHN PEZZLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER